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1. Describe and prove the no-cloning theorem.

Answer: The no-cloning theorem states that it is impossible to create an identical copy of an arbitrary unknown quantum state. The prove can be seen in the "Box 12.1 " on the page 532 of "Quantum compution and quantum information" by Nielsen.
2. Prove that non-orthogonal states can't be reliably distinguished.

Answer: The proof can be seen in the "Box 2.3 " on the page 87 of "Quantum compution and quantum information" by Nielsen.
3. Find the eigenvectors, eigenvalues, and diagonal representations of the Pauli matrices $X, Y$ and $Z$.

Answer:
The calculation is omitted.
4. Write down the commutation relations and anti-commutation relations for the Pauli matrices and prove them.

## Answer:

The commutation relations:

$$
\left[\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}\right]=2 i \epsilon_{i j k} \sigma_{k},
$$

the anti-commutation relations:

$$
\left\{\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}\right\}=2 \delta i j \mathbf{I}
$$

where $i, j, k=1,2,3$.
The proof is omitted.
5. Prove the Cauchy - Schwarz inequality that for any two vectors $|v\rangle$ and $|w\rangle$, $|\langle v \mid w\rangle|^{2} \leq\langle v \mid v\rangle\langle w \mid w\rangle$.

Answer: The proof can be seen in the "Box 2.1" on the page 68 of "Quantum compution and quantum information" by Nielsen.
6. Let $\vec{v}$ be any real, three-dimensional unit vector and $\theta$ a real number. Prove that

$$
\exp (i \theta \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\sigma})=\cos (\theta) I+i \sin (\theta) \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\sigma}
$$

where $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\sigma}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} v_{i} \sigma_{i}$.
Answer: Using the properties of Pauli matrices

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{i}^{2}=I \\
\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}=i \epsilon_{i j k} \sigma_{k}
\end{array}\right.
$$

it can be proved that $(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\sigma})^{2}=I$.
From Taylor series expansion

$$
f(x)=f(0)+f^{\prime}(0) x+\ldots=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} x^{n}
$$

we can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\exp (i \theta A) & =\cos (\theta) I+i \sin (\theta) A \\
\exp (-i \theta A) & =\cos (\theta) I+i \sin (\theta) A
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A$ is an operator, $A^{2}=I$.
Then, we obtain

$$
\exp (i \theta \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\sigma})=\cos (\theta) I+i \sin (\theta) \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\sigma}
$$

if set $A=\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$.
7. Prove that for any 2-dimension linear operator A,

$$
A=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(A) I d+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \operatorname{Tr}\left(A \sigma_{k}\right) \sigma_{k}
$$

in which $\sigma_{k}(k=1,2,3)$ are Pauli matrices.

## Answer:

Suppose that $A=\sum_{j=0}^{3} a_{j} \sigma_{j}$, where $\sigma_{0}=I d$ and $\sigma_{k}(k=1,2,3)$ are Pauli matrices, then

$$
A \sigma_{k}=\sum_{j=0}^{3} a_{j} \sigma_{j} \sigma_{k}
$$

since

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\sigma_{j} \sigma_{k}\right)=2 \delta_{j k}
$$

then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(A \sigma_{k}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{3} \operatorname{Tr}\left(a_{j} \sigma_{j} \sigma_{k}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{3} 2 a_{j} \delta_{j k}=2 a_{k}
$$

so

$$
a_{k}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(A \sigma_{k}\right)
$$

then we get that

$$
A=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(A) I d+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \operatorname{Tr}\left(A \sigma_{k}\right) \sigma_{k}
$$

8. If $A$ and $B$ are two linear operators, show that

$$
\operatorname{Tr}(A B)=\operatorname{Tr}(B A)
$$

## Answer:

$$
\operatorname{Tr}(A B)=\sum_{i} \sum_{j} a_{i j} b_{j i}=\sum_{i} \sum_{j} b_{i j} a_{j i}=\operatorname{Tr}(B A)
$$

9. Let $\rho$ be a density operator.
(1). Show that $\rho$ can be written as

$$
\rho=\frac{\boldsymbol{I}+\boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{2}
$$

where $r$ is a real three-dimensional vector such that $\|\boldsymbol{r}\| \leq 1$.
(2). Show that $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho^{2}\right) \leq 1$, with equality if and only if $\rho$ is a pure state.
(3). Show that a state $\rho$ is a pure state if and only if $\|\boldsymbol{r}\|=1$.

## Answer:

(1). Using the conclusion derived in the previous problem, we get

$$
\rho=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(\rho) I d+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho \sigma_{i}\right) \sigma_{i} .
$$

By defining $r_{i}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho \sigma_{i}\right),(i=1,2,3)$ and using $\operatorname{Tr}(\rho)=1$, we get

$$
\rho=\frac{\boldsymbol{I}+\boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{2}
$$

(2). Define $\rho=\sum_{i} p_{i}\left|\phi_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle\phi_{i}\right|$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{2} & =\sum_{i} p_{i}\left|\phi_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle\phi_{i}\right| \sum_{j} p_{j}\left|\phi_{j}\right\rangle\left\langle\phi_{j}\right| \\
& =\sum_{i, j} p_{i} p_{j}\left|\phi_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle\phi_{i} \mid \phi_{j}\right\rangle\left\langle\phi_{j}\right| \\
& =\sum_{i} p_{i}^{2}\left|\phi_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle\phi_{i}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho^{2}\right) & =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\sum_{i} p_{i}^{2}\left|\phi_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle\phi_{i}\right|\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\sum_{i} p_{i}^{2}\left\langle\phi_{i} \mid \phi_{i}\right\rangle\right) \\
& =\sum_{i} p_{i}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\sum_{i} p_{i}=1$, then $\sum_{i} p_{i}^{2} \leq \sum_{i} p_{i}=1$,
then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho^{2}\right) \leq 1
$$

with equality if and only if

$$
p_{j}=1, p_{i \neq j}=0
$$

when states $\rho$ is a pure state,
(3). Since

$$
\rho=\frac{\boldsymbol{I}+\boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{2}
$$

then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left(I+2 \boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}+2\|\boldsymbol{r}\|^{2}\right) .
$$

using

$$
\operatorname{Tr}(I)=2, \operatorname{Tr}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=0,(i=1,2,3)
$$

we get that

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\|\boldsymbol{r}\|^{2}\right)
$$

since

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho^{2}\right) \leq 1
$$

with equality if and only if $\rho$ is a pure state, then

$$
\|\boldsymbol{r}\|^{2} \leq 1
$$

$\|\boldsymbol{r}\|=1$ if and only if $\rho$ is a pure state.
10. $\rho_{A}=\frac{\boldsymbol{I}+\boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{A}} \cdot \sigma}{2}, \rho_{B}=\frac{\boldsymbol{I}+\boldsymbol{n}_{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{2}$, prove that $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{A} \rho_{B}\right)=\frac{1+\boldsymbol{n}_{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{B}}}{2}$.

## Answer:

$$
\rho_{A} \rho_{B}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\boldsymbol{I}+\boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{A}} \cdot \sigma+\boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{B}} \cdot \sigma+\boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{A}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{B}} \boldsymbol{I}+i \epsilon_{i j k} n_{A i} n_{B j} \sigma_{k}\right)
$$

As $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=0, \operatorname{Tr}(\boldsymbol{I})=2$,

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{A} \rho_{B}\right)=\frac{1+\boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{A}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{B}}}{2} .
$$

11. Consider an experiment, in which we prepare the state $|0\rangle$ with the probability $\left|C_{0}\right|^{2}$, and the state $|1\rangle$ with the probability $\left|C_{1}\right|^{2}$. How to describe this type of quantum state? Compare the differences and similarities between it with the state $C_{0}|0\rangle+C_{1} e^{i \theta}|1\rangle$.

## Answer:

This state is a mixd state, whose density matrix is

$$
\rho=\left|C_{0}\right|^{2}|0\rangle\langle 0|+\left|C_{1}\right|^{2}|1\rangle\langle 1|=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left|C_{0}\right|^{2} & 0 \\
0 & \left|C_{1}\right|^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The state $|\psi\rangle=C_{0}|0\rangle+C_{1} e^{i \theta}|1\rangle$ is a pure state, whose density matrix is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{\prime} & =\left|C_{0}\right|^{2}|0\rangle\langle 0|+\left|C_{1}\right|^{2}|1\rangle\langle 1|+C_{0} C_{1} e^{-i \theta}|0\rangle\langle 1|+C_{0} C_{1} e^{i \theta}|1\rangle\langle 0| \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left|C_{0}\right|^{2} & C_{0} C_{1} e^{-i \theta} \\
C_{0} C_{1} e^{i \theta} & \left|C_{1}\right|^{2}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It's easy to see that heir density matrixes are different. When measuring these two states, if $\{|0\rangle,|1\rangle\}$ basis is used, the probabilities we get $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ are same; if other basis is used, the probabilities are different.
12. Please prepare the polarized optical quantum state $C_{0}|0\rangle+C_{1} e^{i \theta}|1\rangle$ from an initial state $|0\rangle$, with half wave plate and quarter-wave plate. To implement arbitrary single qubit unitary transformation, how many wave plates are at least needed, and how to perform them?

## Answer:

For a rotation $\delta$ around an axis in the Bloch Sphere, the operator is given by the Jones matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{\delta}(\theta) & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos (\theta) & -\sin (\theta) \\
\sin (\theta) & \cos (\theta)
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & e^{i \delta}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos (\theta) & \sin (\theta) \\
-\sin (\theta) & \cos (\theta)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos ^{2}(\theta)+e^{-i \delta} \sin ^{2}(\theta) & \cos (\theta) \sin (\theta)-e^{-i \delta} \cos (\theta) \sin (\theta) \\
\cos (\theta) \sin (\theta)-e^{-i \delta} \cos (\theta) \sin (\theta) & e^{-i \delta} \cos ^{2}(\theta)+\sin ^{2}(\theta)
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

in which the $0^{\circ}$ position is defined as the position where horizontal polarized light stays horizontal and vertical polarized stays vertical or in other words the rotation axis concurs with the z -axis in the Bloch Sphere.

A HWP rotates the state vector by $\delta=\pi$. The operator for a HWP reads

$$
U_{\pi}(\theta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos (2 \theta) & \sin (2 \theta) \\
\sin (2 \theta) & -\cos (2 \theta)
\end{array}\right)
$$

A QWP rotates the state vector by $\delta=\frac{\pi}{2}$. The operator for a QWP reads

$$
U_{\frac{\pi}{2}}(\theta)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+i \cos (2 \theta) & i \sin (2 \theta) \\
i \sin (2 \theta) & 1-i \cos (2 \theta)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since there are three parameters in the unitary matrix $\hat{U}$ except the global phase, two QWPs and one HWP are needed to implement arbitrary single qubit unitary transformation. Solve the relation

$$
\hat{U}=e^{i \delta} U_{\frac{\pi}{2}}(\gamma) U_{\pi}(\beta) U_{\frac{\pi}{2}}(\alpha)
$$

i.e.

$$
\hat{U}=e^{i \delta}\binom{\binom{\cos (\alpha-\gamma) \cos (\alpha-2 \beta+\gamma)-i \sin (\alpha+\gamma) \sin (\alpha-2 \beta+\gamma)}{\cos (\alpha-2 \beta+\gamma) \sin (\alpha-\gamma)+i \cos (\alpha+\gamma) \sin (\alpha-2 \beta+\gamma)}}{\binom{i \cos (\alpha+\gamma) \sin (\alpha-2 \beta+\gamma)-\cos (\alpha-2 \beta+\gamma) \sin (\alpha-\gamma)}{\cos (\alpha-\gamma) \cos (\alpha-2 \beta+\gamma)+i \sin (\alpha+\gamma) \sin (\alpha-2 \beta+\gamma)}}
$$

we can get the angle of the QWPs and HWP. Let the quantum state go through $\operatorname{QWP}(\alpha), \operatorname{HWP}(\beta)$ and $\operatorname{QWP}(\gamma)$ one after another we can implement arbitrary single qubit unitary transformation $\hat{U}$.

For example, the angles for the wave plates for the 3 standard directions are

| unitary transformation | $\operatorname{QWP}(\alpha)$ | $\operatorname{HWP}(\beta)$ | $\operatorname{QWP}(\gamma)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\hat{I}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\hat{\sigma_{x}}$ | $\frac{\pi}{4}$ | $\frac{\pi}{4}$ | $-\frac{\pi}{4}$ |
| $\hat{\sigma_{y}}$ | $\frac{\pi}{4}$ | 0 | $-\frac{\pi}{4}$ |
| $\hat{\sigma_{z}}$ | $\frac{\pi}{4}$ | 0 | $\frac{\pi}{4}$ |

To get the state $C_{0}|0\rangle+C_{1} e^{i \theta}|1\rangle$, perform the unitary transformation $\hat{U}=e^{i \delta} U_{\frac{\pi}{2}}(\gamma) U_{\pi}(\beta) U_{\frac{\pi}{2}}(\alpha)$ on the state $|0\rangle$. By solving the relation

$$
\binom{C_{0}}{C_{1} e^{i \theta}}=e^{i \delta} U_{\frac{\pi}{2}}(\gamma) U_{\pi}(\beta) U_{\frac{\pi}{2}}(\alpha)\binom{1}{0}
$$

i.e.

$$
\binom{C_{0}}{C_{1} e^{i \theta}}=e^{i \delta} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{\cos (\alpha-\gamma) \cos (\alpha-2 \beta+\gamma)-i \sin (\alpha+\gamma) \sin (\alpha-2 \beta+\gamma)}{\cos (\alpha-2 \beta+\gamma) \sin (\alpha-\gamma)+i \cos (\alpha+\gamma) \sin (\alpha-2 \beta+\gamma)}
$$

we can get the angles of the QWPs and HWP.
13. Suppose a two particle pure state is of the form $|\Phi\rangle=\sum_{i j} a_{i j}|i\rangle|j\rangle$. By defining $A_{i j}=a_{i j}$, calculate the reduced density matrices $\rho_{A}$ and $\rho_{B}$.

## Answer:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{A B} & =|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|=\sum_{i j k l} a_{i j} a_{k l}^{*}|i j\rangle\langle k l| \\
\rho_{A} & =\operatorname{Tr}_{B}\left(\rho_{A B}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i j k l} a_{i j} a_{k l}^{*}|i\rangle\left\langle\left. k\right|_{A}\langle l \mid j\rangle_{B}\right. \\
& =\sum_{i j k l} a_{i j} a_{k l}^{*}|i\rangle\langle k| \delta_{l j} \\
& =\sum_{i j k} a_{i j} a_{k j}^{*}|i\rangle\langle k| \\
\rho_{B} & =T_{A}\left(\rho_{A B}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i j k l} a_{i j} a_{k l}^{*}|j\rangle\left\langle\left. l\right|_{B}\langle k \mid i\rangle_{A}\right. \\
& =\sum_{i j k l} a_{i j} a_{k l}^{*}|j\rangle\langle l| \delta_{k i} \\
& =\sum_{i j l} a_{i j} a_{i l}^{*}|j\rangle\langle l|
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $A_{i j}=a_{i j}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\rho_{A}\right)_{i k} & =\sum_{j} A_{i j} A_{k j}^{*}=\sum_{j} A_{i j}\left(A^{\dagger}\right)_{j k}=\left(A A^{\dagger}\right)_{i k} \\
\left(\rho_{B}\right)_{j l} & =\sum_{i} A_{i j} A_{i l}^{*}=\sum_{i}\left(A^{T}\right)_{j i} A_{i l}^{*}=\left(A^{T} A^{*}\right)_{j l}
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{A} & =A A^{\dagger} \\
\rho_{B} & =A^{T} A^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

14. Prove that suppose $|\psi\rangle$ is a pure state of a composite system, $A B$. Then there exist orthonormal states $\left|i_{A}\right\rangle$ for system $A$, and orthonormal states $\left|i_{B}\right\rangle$ for system $B$ such that

$$
|\psi\rangle=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}\left|i_{A}\right\rangle\left|i_{B}\right\rangle,
$$

where $\lambda_{i}$ are non-negative real numbers satisfying $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{2}=1$ known as $\boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{c h m i d t}$ co-efficients.

## Answer:

The proof can be seen in the "Theorem 2.7" on the page 109 of "Quantum compution and quantum information" by Nielsen.
15. Prove that suppose $\left\{\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle\right\},\left\{\left|\tilde{\psi}_{i}\right\rangle\right\}$ are two sets of normalized states in space $H$ and they satisfy the conditions that $\left\langle\psi_{i} \mid \psi_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle\tilde{\psi}_{i} \mid \tilde{\psi}_{j}\right\rangle$ for $\forall i, j$, then there exist a transformation U , s.t. $U\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle=\left|\tilde{\psi}_{i}\right\rangle$, and construct this U transformation.

## Answer:

Execute Schmidt orthogonalization and normalization of $\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle$ and $\left|\tilde{\psi}_{i}\right\rangle$ respectively in Hilbert space H .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle=\Sigma_{j} A_{i j}\left|a_{j}\right\rangle,\left\langle a_{i} \mid a_{j}\right\rangle=\delta_{i j} \\
& \left|\tilde{\psi}_{i}\right\rangle=\Sigma_{j} \tilde{A}_{i j}\left|\tilde{a}_{j}\right\rangle,\left\langle\tilde{a}_{i} \mid \tilde{a}_{j}\right\rangle=\delta_{i j}
\end{aligned}
$$

From $\left\langle\psi_{i} \mid \psi_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle\tilde{\psi}_{i} \mid \tilde{\psi}_{j}\right\rangle, \forall i, j$, we have $A_{i j}=\tilde{A}_{i j}$. Then define $U=\Sigma_{j}\left|\tilde{a}_{j}\right\rangle\left\langle a_{j}\right|$, which satisfies that $U\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle=\left|\tilde{\psi}_{i}\right\rangle$.
16. Suppose $A B C$ is a three component quantum system. Show by example that there are pure quantum states $\psi$ of such systems which can not be written in the form

$$
|\psi\rangle=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}\left|i_{A}\right\rangle\left|i_{B}\right\rangle\left|i_{C}\right\rangle
$$

where $\lambda_{i}$ are real numbers, and $\left|i_{A}\right\rangle,\left|i_{B}\right\rangle,\left|i_{C}\right\rangle$ are orthonormal bases of the respective systems.

## Answer:

For example,

$$
|\psi\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle+|011\rangle
$$

If $|\psi\rangle$ can be written in the form

$$
\left.|\psi\rangle=\sum_{i}^{2} \lambda_{i}\left|i_{A}\right\rangle\left|i_{B}\right\rangle\left|i_{C}\right\rangle\right) .
$$

Then the reduced density matrices $\rho_{A}, \rho_{B}$ and $\rho_{C}$ have the same eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}^{2}$. However, for the $|\psi\rangle$ given above, $\rho_{A}, \rho_{B}$ and $\rho_{C}$ don't have common eigenvalue. So $|\psi\rangle$ can not be written in the form described as above

